DUTCH SOLUTIONS TO CLEAR LEGAL OBSTACLES

LEONTIEN BOUT

EYE FILM MUSEUM AMSTERDAM
A. Stretch existing copyright exceptions to fit your purposes

- "DEDICATED TERMINALS"
- EYE PODS
B. SEEK OUT RELEVANT STAKEHOLDERS AND TRY TO REACH AN AGREEMENT:

EXAMPLES FROM DIGITIZATION PROJECT “IMAGES FOR THE FUTURE” & VOLUNTARY LEGAL DEPOSIT
IMAGES FOR THE FUTURE:

115 MILLION EURO/24 MILLION EURO

7500 TITLES = 3874 HOURS FILM DIGITIZED

7090 FILMS CLEARED:
483 PUBLIC DOMAIN
2479 ORPHAN WORKS
AIM:
OPENING UP DIGITIZED CONTENT TO THE PUBLIC & GENERATE INCOME
CREATION OF A VOD PLATFORM

founded and owned by EYE/Dutch TV Archive Sound and Vision/Dutch Association of Feature Film Producers
Problem 1:
-no one-stop shop
-no extended collective licensing
-no budget to pay up front

Solution:
VOLUNTARY EXTENDED COLLECTIVE LICENSING
Concept of Voluntary Extended Collective Licensing:

a) Search for rights holders done by EYE
b) Individual license agreements with producers
c) Collective license agreement for other right holders with 5 representative CMO’s
d) EYE provides contact information other right holders to CMO’s for repartition
CMO’s involved in the deal were:

- **buma•stemra** for music composers and lyricists
- **lira** for (screenplay) writers
- **NORMA** for neighbouring rights (actors, musicians)
- **VEVAM** for directors
- **SEKAM** for producers
CMO DEAL:
• permission for exploitation through the VoD platform of the works of their members
• exoneration for claims from members AND non-members
• members could opt-out
• 10% of all net proceeds off-top went to CMO’s
• remaining 90%:
  35% for running costs platform/65% for licensor VoD rights
• also applies to public domain and orphan works
Problem 2:
• Permission needed to digitize copyright protected works
• How to generate income

Solution:
• Digitization Agreement
Highlights of the Agreement:

• no OBLIGATION to digitize
• rights holder gives permission to make copies: analogue and/or digital
• copies made by EYE are and remain property of EYE
• no transfer of copyright, but rights holder gives permission for museological use
• rights holder can buy copies from EYE in all sorts of formats
• if rights holder (or third party) exploits these copies, EYE receives a contribution towards the digitization costs made
• after the digitization costs have been repaid, rights holder pays EYE a contribution towards preservation costs
• applies to all sorts of films including in and out of commerce and amateur film
• agreement can be with all types of right holders including heirs
FOR EXPLOITATION BY EYE:

ADDITIONAL AGREEMENT

VoD
Clips  Licensing
etc.
ADVANTAGE:

FROM PROCEEDS DIRECTLY RECEIVED BY EYE WE CAN DEDUCT AMOUNTS DUE FOR DIGITIZATION AND/OR PRESERVATION
Problem 3: no legal deposit

Solution: voluntary “legal deposit”
Cooperation between Dutch Film Fund & EYE:

- in subsidy agreement between Fund/Filmmaker obligation to give film to EYE
- incentive: “declaration” from EYE to receive last subsidy installment
- declaration only given if material is complete and in good order
ADVANTAGE:

• EYE receives all the latest Dutch Film productions in the best possible format

• Donation agreement with filmmakers allows EYE museological use of the films
CONCLUSION:

despite growing awareness, not much expected on legislative level, apart from further harmonizing existing exceptions
Urging film archives to stretch these existing limitations and exceptions and where this is not enough be creative and seek out relevant stakeholders